**EXHIBIT 3** 

## **Deposition of ROGER CLARK**

DAY v. COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

Taken On July 31, 2008

Transcript provided by:

HUTCHINGS SM COURT REPORTERS, LLC CSR 649

GLOBAL LEGAL SERVICES

800.697.3210

- 1 20/20 hindsight to his judgment, are you not?
- MR. COOK: Objection. Argumentative. Calls for a
- 3 legal opinion. You can answer.
- 4 THE WITNESS: No. That was not the way I looked
- 5 at the case, nor was it the way I believe I wrote the
- 6 case and the opinion.
- 7 Clearly Patzer cannot be held to what finally
- 8 develops at the end of the day.
- 9 He can only be held responsible for what he knew
- 10 at the time and evaluated on that basis.
- And I believe that's exactly what I did in the
- 12 report.
- Now, in regard to chasing -- deciding to chase
- 14 Day, that decision is not -- I didn't render that
- 15 decision in terms of 20/20 hindsight. They should
- 16 never had done it.
- I rendered that decision based on the fact that at
- 18 that time, and under those circumstances with those
- 19 sets of facts, no officer would separate from his
- 20 partner and chase an individual who is fleeing when
- 21 there are two other suspects in a suspected stolen car;
- 22 you know, leave that and chase the one; leave two to
- 23 chase one. It's never done -- and engage in that by
- 24 himself.
- 25 And I talk about it as terms of a muscle memory

- 1 decision; not a hindsight thing but a muscle memory
- 2 decision.
- 3 He would have decided that and have been trained
- 4 in that before he even got out of the Academy at the
- 5 basic level and would never be expected to do it.
- 6 MR. FITZGERALD:
- 7 Q. Is it your opinion, based on your expertise,
- 8 that his decision to do what you just described
- 9 constituted an unconstitutional act on his part?
- 10 A. Well, you are asking me a legal question.
- 11 MR. COOK: Objection. The question calls for a
- 12 legal opinion. Constitutional law.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I think it's -- and I think I
- 14 expressed it well enough in the report -- hopefully I
- 15 have -- that it is so -- this is such an extreme and
- 16 reckless departure from a fundamental rule of tactics,
- 17 that it can only be viewed as a deliberate act, not
- 18 accidental.
- And it has to be viewed in that category
- 20 because -- and putting -- and it put everyone at risk.
- 21 And of course we know what the outcome is. That's
- 22 the 20/20 hindsight. We know what the outcome is.
- But what he did, that decision is just stunning in
- 24 my opinion. I can't overstate it.
- MR. FITZGERALD:

- Q. When you use the word "deliberate" what do you
- 2 mean?
- A. I mean that having -- knowing what he was
- 4 trained in, and probably having the document that has
- 5 the pages that he looked at in his class -- I would be
- 6 surprised if they were any different at all -- that for
- 7 an officer to decide to disregard that training and
- 8 engage in a solo foot pursuit, has to have fallen in
- 9 the category of a deliberate decision.
- 10 Q. What evidence can you point to in the record
- 11 that suggests that Officer Patzer made a deliberate
- 12 decision to disregard that training?
- A. Well, now there's an interesting issue
- 14 because -- and I have criticized it -- the
- investigation never asked him the question.
- I looked and I could not find what the overriding
- 17 decision -- the question would be by any reasonably
- 18 trained investigator, "Patzer, what made you decide to
- 19 leave your partner and go after Mr. Day?" Or go after
- 20 this little guy, under these circumstances especially,
- 21 and it was never asked.
- Q. Since you brought it up, --
- 23 A. Sure.
- Q. -- you reviewed Deputy Patzer's deposition,
- 25 did you not?

- 1 quote. Did I read that correctly?
- A. Yes. That's probably the biggest of all of
- 3 them.
- 4 Q. So you are saying that was a fatal error?
- 5 A. That it was a fatal error.
- Q. And the fact that Patzer pursued Mr. Day by
- 7 himself was an unconstitutional act?
- 8 MR. COOK: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 9 Lacks foundation. Calls for a legal opinion. Beyond
- 10 the scope of what this witness is qualified for.
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD:
- 12 Q. In your opinion when he chased him alone, did
- 13 that violate Mr. Day's constitutional rights?
- MR. COOK: Same objections.
- THE WITNESS: I don't think I was hired to say or
- 16 to opine on the constitutional rights, whether they
- 17 were violated.
- But where I am competent is that if a person does
- 19 something so far out of the norm, that that leads to --
- 20 and that that starts a series of events, that there's a
- 21 responsibility there.
- 22 That's what I'm now -- how that fits into the
- 23 constitutional question you are asking me, I don't
- 24 think I can answer in terms of being qualified to
- 25 answer that kind of question.

1	I, Stephanie Ann Riggs, CSR 12788, RPR, do hereby declare:
2	That prior to being aromined the all
3	That, prior to being examined, the witness named in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn pursuant to Section 30(f) (1) of the Federal Rules of Civil
4	Procedure and the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.
5	reduction of the second
6	That said deposition was taken down by me in shorthand at the time and place therein named and thereafter reduced to text under my direction.
7	That the witness was requested to review the transcript and make any changes to the
8	transcript as a result of that review pursuant to Section 30 (e) of the Federal
9	Rules of Civil Procedure.
10	No changes have been provided by the witness during the period allowed.
11	FT3
12	The changes made by the witness are appended to the transcript.
13	No request was made that the transcript be reviewed pursuant to Section 30 (e) of the
14	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. I further declare that I have no interest in the
15	event of the action.
16	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is
17	true and correct.
18	WITNESS my hand this 6th day of August , 2008
19	$\leq 1 - 0$
20	Stephanie Ann Riggs, CSR 12788, RPR
21	A STATE OF THE STA
22	COURT RESTREET TRANSCRIPT
23	TRANSCRIPT
24	CEMIFICATION
25	Stephanie Ann Riggs, CSR 12788, RPR  COURT R  TRANSCRIPT CERTIFICATION  SEAL